

Climate Change Projections for Individual Tree Species

Southern Superior Uplands (Ecological Section 212J)



This region's forests will be affected by a changing climate and other stressors during this century. Researchers and managers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in the Northwoods region (Janowiak et al. 2014: doi.org/10.2737/NRS-GTR-136). This report includes information on the current landscape, observed climate trends, and a range of projected future climates. It also describes many potential climate change impacts to forests and summarizes key vulnerabilities for major forest ecosystems. This

handout summarizes data from the U.S. Forest Service's Climate Change Tree Atlas (doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4). Two climate scenarios are presented to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections (2070 to 2099) provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. Results for "low" and "high" emissions scenarios can be compared on the reverse side of this handout.

The Tree Atlas provides information to interpret tree species changes:

- **SUITABLE HABITAT** - calculated based on 45 variables that explain where conditions exist for a species, including soils, landforms, and climate variables.
- **ADAPTABILITY** - based on life-history traits that might increase or decrease tolerance of expected changes, such as the ability to withstand different forms of disturbance.
- **CAPABILITY** - a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change in this region based on suitable habitat change (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance (inventory data). The capability rating is modified by abundance information; ratings are downgraded for rare species and upgraded for abundant species. Capability rating may not appropriately reflect the outlook for species with emerging severe forest health issues, such as ash species affected by emerald ash borer. See the table to the right for ratings.
- **MIGRATION POTENTIAL MODEL** - when combined with habitat suitability, an estimate of a species' colonization likelihood for new habitats. This rating can be helpful for assisted migration or focused management.

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections can't account for all factors that influence future species success. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, model results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions. Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change.

CLIMATE CHANGE CAPABILITY TABLE.

Capability is a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change. Species are organized into poor, fair, good, and mixed capability ratings. Species with new suitable habitat or low model reliability are excluded from this table. See the Tree Species Projections table legend on the following page for more information on ratings.

NOTE: Capability rating may not reflect severe forest health issues (e.g., emerald ash borer).

POOR CAPABILITY

| | |
|---------------|--------------|
| Balsam poplar | White spruce |
| Black spruce | |

FAIR CAPABILITY

| | |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| Balsam fir | Red pine |
| Black ash | Tamarack (native) |
| Eastern hemlock | Yellow birch |

GOOD CAPABILITY

| | |
|--------------------|----------------------|
| American elm | Northern white-cedar |
| Bigtooth aspen | Paper birch |
| Black cherry | Quaking aspen |
| Eastern white pine | Red maple |
| Jack pine | Sugar maple |
| Northern pin oak | White ash |
| Northern red oak | |

MIXED CAPABILITY

| |
|-------------------|
| American basswood |
|-------------------|

CREDIT: This handout summarizes the full model results for the Southern Superior Uplands (Ecological Section 212J). Data provided by the USDA Forest Service (M.P. Peters, A.M. Prasad, S.N. Matthews, & L.R. Iverson) as part of the Climate Change Tree Atlas (doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4). Models and variables are described in Iverson et al. 2019 and Peters et al. 2019 (available at fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/products/pubs). More information on vulnerability and adaptation in the region can be found at forestadaptation.org/northwoods.



This handout is a product of the USDA Northern Forests Climate Hub and the Northern Institute of Applied Climate Science, a collaborative, multi-institutional partnership led by the USDA Forest Service. Funding was provided by the USDA Forest Service.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.



Tree Species Projections Table

Information presented in the table is from the Climate Change Tree Atlas regional summaries, more details at fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries.

ADAPTABILITY: Life-history factors, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, that are not included in the Tree Atlas model and may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors.

- + **HIGH** Species may perform better than modeled
- **MEDIUM**
- **LOW** Species may perform worse than modeled

HABITAT CHANGE: Projected change in suitable habitat between current and potential future conditions.

- ▲ **INCREASE** Projected increase of >20% by 2100
- ▼ **DECREASE** Projected decrease of >20% by 2100
- **NO CHANGE** Projected change of <20% by 2100
- ★ **NEW HABITAT** Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

ABUNDANCE: Based on Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) summed Importance Value data, calibrated to a standard geographic area.

- + **ABUNDANT**
- **COMMON**
- **RARE**

CAPABILITY: An overall rating that describes a species' ability to cope or persist with climate change based on suitable habitat change class, adaptability, and abundance within this region. Capability may not reflect severe forest health issues.

- ▲ **GOOD** Increasing suitable habitat, medium or high adaptability, and common or abundant
- **FAIR** Mixed combinations, such as a rare species with increasing suitable habitat and medium adaptability
- ▼ **POOR** Decreasing suitable habitat, medium or low adaptability, and uncommon or rare

| SPECIES | LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5) | | HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5) | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| | ADAPT | ABUN | HABITAT CHANGE | HABITAT CAPABILITY |
| American basswood | • | • | ▲ | ○ |
| American beech | • | | ★ | ★ |
| American elm | • | • | ▲ | ▲ |
| American hornbeam* | • | – | ● | ▼ |
| Balsam fir | – | + | ▼ | ○ |
| Balsam poplar | • | – | ▼ | ▼ |
| Bigtooth aspen | • | • | ▲ | ▲ |
| Bitternut hickory* | + | | ★ | ★ |
| Black ash | – | + | ● | ○ |
| Black cherry | – | • | ▲ | ▲ |
| Black locust* | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Black oak | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Black spruce | • | • | ▼ | ▼ |
| Black walnut* | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Black willow* | – | – | ▲ | ○ |
| Blackgum | + | | ★ | ★ |
| Boxelder* | + | – | ▲ | ▲ |
| Bur oak | + | | ★ | ★ |
| Cedar elm | – | | ★ | ★ |
| Chestnut oak | + | | ★ | ★ |
| Eastern cottonwood* | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Eastern hemlock | – | + | ▼ | ○ |
| Eastern redcedar | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Eastern white pine | – | • | ▲ | ▲ |
| Flowering dogwood | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Green ash* | • | – | ▲ | ▲ |
| Hackberry | + | | ★ | ★ |
| Honeylocust* | + | | ★ | ★ |
| Ironwood* | + | • | ▲ | ▲ |
| Jack pine | + | • | ● | ▲ |
| Live oak | • | | ★ | ★ |

| SPECIES | LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5) | | HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5) | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| | ADAPT | ABUN | HABITAT CHANGE | HABITAT CAPABILITY |
| Mountain maple* | + | – | ▼ | ▼ |
| Northern pin oak | + | – | ▲ | ▲ |
| Northern red oak | + | • | ▲ | ▲ |
| Northern white-cedar | • | + | ● | ▲ |
| Paper birch | • | • | ▲ | ▲ |
| Pignut hickory | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Pin cherry* | • | – | ▼ | ▼ |
| Pin oak* | – | | | ★ |
| Post oak | + | | ★ | ★ |
| Quaking aspen | • | + | ● | ▲ |
| Red maple | + | + | ● | ▲ |
| Red pine | – | • | ▲ | ○ |
| Red spruce | – | | ★ | ★ |
| Sassafras* | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Scarlet oak | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Serviceberry* | • | – | ▼ | ▼ |
| Shagbark hickory | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Silver maple* | + | – | ● | ▲ |
| Slippery elm* | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Sugar maple | + | + | ▼ | ▲ |
| Sugarberry | • | | | ★ |
| Swamp white oak* | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Sweet birch | – | | ★ | ★ |
| Sycamore* | • | | ★ | ★ |
| Tamarack (native) | – | • | ▲ | ○ |
| White ash | – | • | ▲ | ▲ |
| White oak | + | | ★ | ★ |
| White spruce | • | • | ▼ | ▼ |
| Yellow birch | • | + | ▼ | ○ |
| Yellow-poplar | + | | ★ | ★ |

*Species with low model reliability based on five statistical metrics of the habitat models that affect change class.