



# CLIMATE CHANGE PROJECTIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL TREE SPECIES

## RIDGE AND VALLEY (SUBREGION 4)



The region's forests will be affected by a changing climate during this century. A team of forest managers and researchers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in the Mid-Atlantic region (Butler-Leopold et al. in review). This report includes information on the current landscape, observed climate trends, and a range of projected future climates. It also describes many potential climate change impacts to forests and summarizes key vulnerabilities for major forest types. This handout is summarized from the full assessment.

### TREE SPECIES INFORMATION:


This assessment uses two climate scenarios to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections were used with two forest impact models (Tree Atlas and LANDIS) to provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. More information on the climate and forest impact models can be found in the assessment. Results for "low" and "high" climate scenarios can be compared on page 2 of this handout.

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections don't account for some factors that could be modified by climate change, like droughts, wildfire activity, and invasive species. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, Tree Atlas results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions.

Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change. The model results presented here were combined with information from published reports and local management expertise to draw conclusions about potential risk and change in the region's forests.

SPECIES	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS - 30 MOST COMMON SPECIES
<b>LIKELY TO DECREASE</b>	
American basswood	Tolerates shade, susceptible to fire
Bigtooth aspen	Early-successional colonizer, susceptible to drought
Blackgum	Shade tolerant, fire adapted
<b>MAY DECREASE</b>	
American beech	Susceptible to beech bark disease, very shade tolerant
Eastern white pine	Good disperser, but susceptible to drought and insects
Serviceberry	Competitive colonizer, susceptible to drought
Striped maple	Shade tolerant and easily established, susceptible to drought
Sugar maple	Grows across a variety of sites, tolerates shade
Sweet birch	Susceptible to drought, fire topkill, and insects
White ash	Emerald ash borer causes mortality
<b>NO CHANGE</b>	
Black locust	Early-successional colonizer, susceptible to insect pests
Pitch pine	Early-successional colonizer, susceptible to insect pests
<b>MIXED MODEL RESULTS</b>	
Black cherry	Susceptible to insects and fire, somewhat drought-tolerant
Chestnut oak	Establishes from seed or sprout, adapted to fire

SPECIES	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS - 30 MOST COMMON SPECIES
<b>MIXED MODEL RESULTS CONTINUED</b>	
Eastern hemlock	Hemlock woolly adelgid causes mortality
Northern red oak	Susceptible to insect pests
Red maple	Competitive colonizer, tolerant of disturbance
Tulip tree	Competitive colonizer tolerant of diverse sites
<b>MAY INCREASE</b>	
Black oak	Drought tolerant, susceptible to insect pests and diseases
Eastern hophornbeam	Grows across a variety of sites, tolerates shade
Mockernut hickory	Susceptible to fire topkill
Pignut hickory	Susceptible to insect pests and drought
Sassafras	Early-successional colonizer, susceptible to fire topkill
Scarlet oak	Establishes from seed & sprout, susceptible to fire & disease
Virginia pine	Intolerant of shade and pollution
White oak	Fire-adapted, grows on a variety of sites
Slippery elm	Shade-tolerant, susceptible to disease and fire topkill
<b>LIKELY TO INCREASE</b>	
Bear oak:scrub oak	Shade intolerant, susceptible to fire topkill and flood
Black walnut	Good disperser, but intolerant of shade and drought
Flowering dogwood	Shade tolerant



## FUTURE PROJECTIONS

Data for the end of the century are summarized for two forest impact models under two climate change scenarios. The Climate Change Tree Atlas ([www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas](http://www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas)) models future suitable habitat, while LANDIS models changes in forest growth over time (future tree density presented in this table; additional data are available in the assessment).

### ▲ INCREASE

Projected increase of >20% by 2100

### ● NO CHANGE

Little change (<20%) projected by 2100

### ▼ DECREASE

Projected decrease of >20% by 2100

### ★ NEW HABITAT

Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

## ADAPTABILITY

Factors not included in the Tree Atlas model, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors (see reverse page for considerations for the 30 most common species).

### + high

Species may perform better than modeled

### · medium

### - low

Species may perform worse than modeled

SPECIES	LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (PCM B1)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (GFDL A1FI)		ADAPT
	TREE ATLAS	LANDIS	TREE ATLAS	LANDIS	
American basswood	▼		▼		·
American beech	●	●	▼	▼	·
American chestnut	▲		●		·
American elm	●		▲		·
American hornbeam	▼		▲		·
Bear oak:scrub oak	▲		▲		·
Bigtooth aspen	▼		▼		·
Bitternut hickory	▲		▲		+
Black cherry	●	●	▼	▲	-
Black hickory	★		★		·
Black locust	●		●		·
Black oak	●	▲	▲	▲	·
Black spruce	▼		▼		·
Black walnut	▲		▲		·
Black willow	▼		▲		-
Blackgum	▲		▲		+
Blackjack oak	★		★		+
Boxelder	●		▲		+
Bur oak	▼		▲		+
Butternut	▼		▼		-
Chestnut oak	●	▲	▼	▲	+
Chinkapin oak	●		▲		·
Chokecherry	▼		▼		·
Cucumbertree	●		▼		·
Eastern cottonwood	▼		▲		·
Eastern hemlock	▼	▲	▼	▼	-
Eastern hophornbeam	●		▲		+
Eastern redbud	▲		▲		·
Eastern redcedar	▲		▲		·
Eastern white pine	●	●	▼	▼	·
Flowering dogwood	▲		▲		·
Gray birch	▼		▼		·
Green ash	●		▲		·
Hackberry	●		▲		+
Honeylocust	●		▲		+
Loblolly pine	N/A	●	★	▲	·
Mockernut hickory	●		▲		+
Northern catalpa	N/A		★		·
Northern red oak	●	▲	▼	▲	+
Osage-orange	●		▲		+

SPECIES	LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (PCM B1)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (GFDL A1FI)		ADAPT
	TREE ATLAS	LANDIS	TREE ATLAS	LANDIS	
Paper birch	▼		▼		·
Pawpaw	●		●		·
Persimmon	▲		▲		+
Pignut hickory	●	▲	▲	▲	·
Pin cherry	▼		▼		·
Pin oak	●		▲		-
Pitch pine	●		●		·
Post oak	★		★		+
Quaking aspen	▼		▼		·
Red maple	●	●	▼	▲	+
Red mulberry	●		▲		·
Red pine	▼		▼		·
Red spruce	▼	▼	▼	▼	-
River birch	●		▲		·
Sassafras	▲		●		·
Scarlet oak	●	▲	▲	●	·
Serviceberry	●		▼		·
Shagbark hickory	●		▲		·
Shellbark hickory	▼		▲		·
Shingle oak	●		▲		·
Shortleaf pine	▲		▲		·
Silver maple	▼		▲		+
Slippery elm	●		▲		·
Southern red oak	●		▲		+
Striped maple	●		▼		·
Sugar maple	●	●	▼	▼	+
Sugarberry	★		★		·
Swamp chestnut oak	●		▼		·
Sweet birch	●		▼		-
Sweetgum	▲		▲		·
Sycamore	▲		▲		·
Table Mountain pine	●		●		+
Tamarack (native)	●		▼		-
Tulip tree	▲	●	▼	●	+
Virginia pine	●		▲		·
White ash	●	●	▼	●	-
White oak	●	●	▲	▲	+
White spruce	▼		▼		·
Winged elm	★		★		·
Yellow birch	▼		▼		·

SOURCE: Butler-Leopold et al. (in review). Mid-Atlantic forest ecosystem vulnerability assessment and synthesis: a report from the Mid-Atlantic Climate Change Response Framework, Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station. [www.forestadaptation.org/mid-atlantic/vulnerability-assessment](http://www.forestadaptation.org/mid-atlantic/vulnerability-assessment)

