



# CLIMATE CHANGE PROJECTIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL TREE SPECIES

## ERIE AND ONTARIO LAKE PLAIN (SUBREGION 2)



The region's forests will be affected by a changing climate during this century. A team of forest managers and researchers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in the Mid-Atlantic region (Butler-Leopold et al. in review). This report includes information on the current landscape, observed climate trends, and a range of projected future climates. It also describes many potential climate change impacts to forests and summarizes key vulnerabilities for major forest types. This handout is summarized from the full assessment.



Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections don't account for some factors that could be modified by climate change, like droughts, wildfire activity, and invasive species. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, Tree Atlas results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions.

### TREE SPECIES INFORMATION:

This assessment uses two climate scenarios to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections were used with two forest impact models (Tree Atlas and LANDIS) to provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. More information on the climate and forest impact models can be found in the assessment. Results for "low" and "high" climate scenarios can be compared on page 2 of this handout.

Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change. The model results presented here were combined with information from published reports and local management expertise to draw conclusions about potential risk and change in the region's forests.

SPECIES	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS - 30 MOST COMMON SPECIES
<b>LIKELY TO DECREASE</b>	
American beech	Susceptible to beech bark disease, very shade tolerant
Black ash	Narrow requirements; Emerald ash borer causes mortality
Black walnut	Good disperser, but intolerant of shade and drought
Chokecherry	Shade intolerant, sensitive to browsing and competition
Red pine	Shade intolerant; susceptible to many pests & diseases
Slippery elm	Shade-tolerant, susceptible to Dutch elm disease & fire
<b>MAY DECREASE</b>	
American basswood	Tolerates shade, susceptible to fire
Black willow	Intolerant of shade, fire, and drought
Eastern hemlock	Hemlock woolly adelgid causes widespread mortality
Eastern hophornbeam	Drought-tolerant, intolerant of shade, fire, and insects
Eastern white pine	Good disperser, but susceptible to drought and insects
Quaking aspen	Early-successional colonizer, susceptible to heat and drought
Silver maple	Early colonizer, susceptible to fire topkill and drought
Sugar maple	Grows across a variety of sites, tolerates shade
Yellow birch	Good disperser, susceptible to fire, insects, and disease

SPECIES	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS - 30 MOST COMMON SPECIES
<b>NO CHANGE</b>	
American elm	Susceptible to Dutch elm disease
American hornbeam	Tolerates shade, susceptible to fire and drought
Bigtooth aspen	Early-successional colonizer, susceptible to drought
Green ash	Shade- intolerant; emerald ash borer causes mortality
<b>MAY INCREASE</b>	
Black cherry	Susceptible to insects and fire, mildly drought-tolerant
Boxelder	Widespread and tolerant of drought and shade
Eastern cottonwood	Intolerant of shade, fire, defoliators and cankers
Mockernut hickory	Susceptible to fire topkill
Pignut hickory	Susceptible to bark beetles and drought
Red maple	Competitive colonizer in many sites, disturbance-tolerant
White ash	Emerald ash borer causes widespread mortality
White oak	Fire-adapted, grows on a variety of sites
<b>LIKELY TO INCREASE</b>	
Black locust	Early colonizer, but susceptible to locust borer & heart rot
Northern red oak	Susceptible to insect pests
Serviceberry	Competitive colonizer, susceptible to drought



## FUTURE PROJECTIONS

Data for the end of the century are summarized for two forest impact models under two climate change scenarios. The Climate Change Tree Atlas ([www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas](http://www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas)) models future suitable habitat, while LANDIS models changes in forest growth over time (future tree density presented in this table; additional data are available in the assessment).

### ▲ INCREASE

Projected increase of >20% by 2100

### ● NO CHANGE

Little change (<20%) projected by 2100

### ▼ DECREASE

Projected decrease of >20% by 2100

### ★ NEW HABITAT

Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

## ADAPTABILITY

Factors not included in the Tree Atlas model, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors (see reverse page for considerations for the 30 most common species).

### + high

Species may perform better than modeled

### · medium

### - low

Species may perform worse than modeled

SPECIES	LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (PCM B1)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (GFDL A1FI)		ADAPT	SPECIES	LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (PCM B1)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (GFDL A1FI)		ADAPT
	TREE ATLAS	LANDIS	TREE ATLAS	LANDIS			TREE ATLAS	LANDIS	TREE ATLAS	LANDIS	
American basswood	▼	●	▼	●	·	Osage-orange	▲		▲		+
American beech	▼		▼		·	Paper birch	▼		▼		·
American elm	●		●		·	Pawpaw	★		★		·
American hornbeam	●		●		·	Persimmon	N/A		★		+
Atlantic white-cedar	▼		▼		-	Pignut hickory	●	●	▲	●	·
Balsam fir	▼	▲	▼	▼	-	Pin cherry	▼		▼		·
Bigtooth aspen	●		●		·	Pin oak	N/A		★		-
Bitternut hickory	●		▲		+	Pitch pine	●	▼	●	●	·
Black ash	▼		▼		-	Post oak	N/A		★		+
Black cherry	●	●	▲	●	-	Quaking aspen	▼	●	▼	▼	·
Black locust	▲		▲		·	Red maple	●	●	▲	●	+
Black oak	●	●	▲	●	·	Red mulberry	★		★		·
Black walnut	▲		▲		·	Red pine	▼		▼		·
Black willow	●		▼		-	Red spruce	▼	▼	▼	▼	-
Blackgum	▲		▲		+	Rock elm	▼		●		-
Blackjack oak	N/A		★		+	Sassafras	▲		▲		·
Boxelder	●		▲		+	Scarlet oak	▲	●	▲	▲	·
Bur oak	▼		▼		+	Serviceberry	▲		▲		·
Butternut	▼		▼		-	Shagbark hickory	●	●	▲	▲	·
Cedar elm	N/A		★		·	Shellbark hickory	N/A		★		·
Chestnut oak	▲	▼	▲	▲	+	Shingle oak	●		★		·
Chinkapin oak	●		▲		·	Shortleaf pine	N/A		★		·
Chokecherry	▼		▼		·	Shumard oak	N/A		★		+
Cucumbertree	●		▼		·	Silver maple	●		▼		+
Eastern cottonwood	●		▲		·	Slippery elm	▲		▲		·
Eastern hemlock	▼	●	▼	▼	-	Southern red oak	N/A		★		+
Eastern hophornbeam	●		▼		+	Striped maple	▼		▼		·
Eastern redbud	★		★		·	Sugarberry	N/A		★		·
Eastern redcedar	▲		▲		·	Sugar maple	●	●	▼	●	+
Eastern white pine	▼	●	▼	▼	·	Swamp white oak	▼		▼		·
Flowering dogwood	▲		▲		·	Sweet birch	●		▲		-
Gray birch	▼		▼		·	Sweetgum	N/A		★		·
Green ash	●		●		·	Sycamore	▲		▲		·
Hackberry	N/A		★		+	Tamarack	▼		▼		-
Honeylocust	N/A		★		+	Tulip tree	▲	●	▲	▲	+
Loblolly pine	N/A		★		·	Virginia pine	★		★		·
Mockernut hickory	●		▲		+	White ash	●	●	●	▲	-
Northern red oak	●	●	▲	▲	+	White oak	●	●	▲	▲	+
Northern white-cedar	▼	▼	▼	▼	·	White spruce	▼		▼		·
Ohio buckeye	★		★		·	Yellow birch	▼	●	▼	▼	·

SOURCE: Butler-Leopold et al. (in review). Mid-Atlantic forest ecosystem vulnerability assessment and synthesis: a report from the Mid-Atlantic Climate Change Response Framework, Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station. [www.forestadaptation.org/mid-atlantic/vulnerability-assessment](http://www.forestadaptation.org/mid-atlantic/vulnerability-assessment)

